Translation

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference ACDPA5160PWO	FOR FURTHER ACTI	ON See Notific	eation of Transmittal of International Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
International application No. PCT/DE2003/002666	International filing date (a		Priority date (day/month/year) 16 August 2002 (16.08.2002)				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or G06F 17/60							
Applicant	DEUTSCHE P	OST AG					
and is transmitted to the applicant 2. This REPORT consists of a total of the applicant of	of 5 sheets, in	neets of the descript containing rectific ns under the PCT).	ion, claims and/or drawings which have been ations made before this Authority (see Rule				
This report contains indications r							
I Basis of the repo	I Basis of the report						
II Priority	II Priority						
III Non-establishme	ent of opinion with regard to	novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability				
	IV Lack of unity of invention						
v Reasoned staten citations and exp	V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
VI Certain docume	VI Certain documents cited						
VII Certain defects	VII Certain defects in the international application						
VIII Certain observations on the international application							
Date of submission of the demand		Date of completion	on of this report				
10 March 2004 (10.03.2004)		27	December 2004 (27.12.2004)				
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP		Authorized office	ет				
Foodimile No		Telephone No.					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/DE2003/002666

I. Basis of the report										
1. With	regard to	the elements of the international	application:*							
	the inte	the international application as originally filed								
\boxtimes	the desc	ription:			,					
	pages		3-17		, as originally filed					
	pages				, filed with the demand					
	pages	1,2,2a,2b		_, filed with the letter of _	06 October 2004 (06.10.2004)					
\boxtimes	the clair	ns:								
E3	pages				, as originally filed					
	pages				er with any statement under Article 19					
•	pages				, filed with the demand					
1	pages			_, filed with the letter of _	10 March 2004 (10.03.2004)					
	the drav	vines:								
	pages		1/6-6/6		, as originally filed					
}	pages				, filed with the demand					
1	pages									
	tha aaassa			_ ,	[
-	_	nce listing part of the description:								
1	pages pages				, as originally filed, filed with the demand					
}	pages				, med with the demand					
}										
the i	nternation	o the language, all the elements n al application was filed, unless of its were available or furnished to the	herwise indicated ı	inder this item.	his Authority in the language in which which is:					
	the lan	guage of a translation furnished fo	r the purposes of i	nternational search (under F	Rule 23.1(b)).					
	the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).									
	the lar or 55.3		i for the purposes	of international preliminar	y examination (under Rule 55.2 and/					
3. With prel	h regard iminary e	to any nucleotide and/or ami	no acid sequenc basis of the seque	e disclosed in the intern	ational application, the international					
	contair	ed in the international application	in written form.							
	filed to	gether with the international appli	cation in computer	readable form.						
	furnish	ed subsequently to this Authority	in written form.		{					
	furnish	ed subsequently to this Authority	in computer readal	ole form.	1					
	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.									
		atement that the information recumished.	orded in computer	r readable form is identica	al to the written sequence listing has					
4.	The ar	nendments have resulted in the car	ncellation of:							
		the description, pages								
Ì		the claims, Nos								
j		the drawings, sheets/fig								
5.		port has been established as if (so the disclosure as filed, as indicate			since they have been considered to go					
in t	lacement his repor 70.17).	sheets which have been furnished t as "originally filed" and are	to the receiving C not annexed to t	office in response to an invi his report since they do	itation under Article 14 are referred to not contain amendments (Rule 70.16					
1	•	ent sheet containing such amendn	nents must be refer	red to under item 1 and an	nexed to this report.					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/DE 03/02666

V.	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
•	citations and explanations supporting such statement

1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-3	YES
		Claims		NO NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	•	YES
2014 Miles 212 p (1-2)	Claims	1-3	NO	
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-3	YES
	modulati applications (a.e.)	Claims		NO

2. Citations and explanations

This report makes reference to the following documents:

D1: WO 02/50705 A (MARKETING PTY LTD U; BEST PHILIP (AU); OXLEY RICHARD (AU)), 27 June 2002 (2002-06-27)

D2: US-A-6 047 264 (KAPLAN SAMUEL JERROLD ET AL), 4
April 2000 (2000-04-04)

The features of the newly submitted claim 1 cannot all be found in the original version of this claim, such as "the information depends on events within the mailing system".

Claim 1 thus does not meet the requirements of PCT Article 34(2)(b).

Although the application contravenes PCT Article 34(2)(b), a reasoned statement under PCT Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)) is established, as follows:

The solution proposed in claim 1 of the application cannot be considered inventive (PCT Article 33(3)) for the following reasons:

The examiner agrees that the application relates to the integration of an electronic parcel mailbox installation

International application No.

PCT/DE 03/02666

in a mailing system and that this leads to novel situations, for example a situation in which the mail recipient does not know that mail has been sent to him. However, independent claims 1 and 3 do not contain any clear features related to these situations. Moreover, the applicant has removed the expression "to an electronic parcel mailbox installation" from the characterising part of claim 1.

In the opinion of the examiner, the other arguments put forth by the applicant are not convincing either, for the same reasons.

The examiner is also of the opinion that the present application relates to a purely event-controlled messaging system and that such systems are generally well known (see, for example, document D2) and could easily be adapted to each specific situation without requiring an inventive input.

Document D2 describes a method and a system for transmitting messages to users of a logistic system (abstract), in which system various events within the logistic system generate corresponding messages which are sent to the users (column 2, lines 14-18). A storage module (CRC) is a well known technical measure for temporarily storing a series of orders. Although D2 does not state that the events are sorted into classes (column 2, lines 14-18), the description of the application does not indicate any further effect of this arrangement into classes, so that this arrangement does not appear to solve any (technical) problems. The solution known from D2 can therefore be applied mutatis mutandis to electronic parcel mailbox installations of the type defined in claim 1, without any inventive input (PCT Article 33(3)).

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/DE 03/02666

Modules which generate messaging orders by means of templates are well known; see, for example, document D1 (abstract). For this reason, the subject matter of dependent claim 2 also lacks an inventive step (PCT Article 33(3)).

The device as per independent claim 3 relates to well known software and hardware structures from which a person skilled in the art would choose according to the circumstances in order to solve the problem in question, without being inventive.